

The 14th July, 1982

No. ID/AMB/94/82/33113.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman, Shri Milkhi Ram and the management of M/s Tarun Agencies, 116/10, Idgah Road, Ambala Cantt., regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Milkhi Ram was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/KNL/144/81/33119.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman, Shri Satbir Singh and the management of Superintending Engineer, Operation, Panipat Thermal Power Project Asson, Panipat, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Satbir Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/KNL/20/82/33125.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman, Shri Jasbir Singh and the management Director, National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad; constituted under section 7 A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Jasbir Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 15th July, 1982

No. ID/AMB/57/82/33252.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Narain Singh and the management of The Executive Engineer, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Division No. 2, Sector-7, Kothi No. 465, Panchkula, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab-57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Narain Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?